TO: Mail Stop 8

Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

X Patents or

☐ Trademarks:

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

filed in the U.S. District Court Northern District of California on the following

DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DI	STRICT COURT				
CV 09-02180 EMC	5/18/09		Northern District of	f California, San F	rancisco Division		
PLAINTIFF APPLIED SIGNAL T	.	DEFENDANT EMERGING MA INC., ET AL.	ARKETS CON	MMUNICATIONS,			
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF	PATENT OR TR	ADEMARK		
1 6,859,641							
2 7,028,104		1					
3		3 .1 .1			·		
4							
5		-					
In the abov	re—entitled case, the follow		<u> </u>				
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT	Amendment	☐ Answer ☐	Cross Bill	Other Pleading		
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK				
1			···				
2							
3				-			
4							
5							
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:							
DECISION/JUDGEMENT							
CLERK		(BY) DEPUTY	CLERK		DATE		
Richard W. Wieking			Gloria Acevedo		May 20, 2009		

1 JAMES POOLEY (CA SBN 58041) L. SCOTT OLIVER (CA SBN 174824) 2 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 Page Mill Road 3 Palo Alto, California 94304-1018 Telephone: 650.813.5600 4 Facsimile: 650.494.0792 Email: JPooley@mofo.com MAY 1 8 7 11 14 5 SOliver@mofo.com Richard W. Wickland 6 BARRY N. YOUNG (CA SBN 178235) LAW OFFICES OF BARRY N. YOUNG Northern District of San Jose 7 200 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94306-2061 8 Telephone: 650.326.2701 Facsimile: 650.326.2799 9 Email: BYoung@young-iplaw.com 10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs APPLIED SIGNAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. 11 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 09°°02180 15 APPLIED SIGNAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. 16 Plaintiff. COMPLAINT FOR PATENT EMC 17 v. INFRINGEMENT EMERGING MARKETS COMMUNICATIONS, 18 INC., PARADISE DATACOM, LLC, and DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 19 VIASAT, INC., 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Applied Signal Technology, Inc.'s Complaint for Patent Infringement pa-1336191

1	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT					
2	PARTIES					
3	1. Plaintiff Applied Signal Technology, Inc. ("AST") is a corporation organized and					
4	existing under the laws of the State of California, having its principal place of business in					
5	Sunnyvale, California. AST is the owner of the patents at issue in this action.					
6	2. On information and belief, Defendant Emerging Markets Communications, Inc.					
7	("EMC") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having					
8	its principal place of business in Miami, Florida.					
9	3. On information and belief, Defendant Paradise Datacom, LLC ("Paradise					
10	Datacom") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Pennsylvania,					
11	having its principal place of business in State College, Pennsylvania.					
12	4. On information and belief, Defendant ViaSat, Inc. ("ViaSat") is a corporation					
13	organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its principal place of business in					
14	Carlsbad, California.					
15	JURISDICTION AND VENUE					
16	5. This is an action for damages and injunctive relief based upon patent infringemen					
17	arising under Title 35 of the United States Code.					
18	6. Upon information and belief, Defendants have transacted business in this District,					
19	contracted to supply goods or services in this District directly or through their agents, and have					
20	otherwise purposely availed themselves of the privileges and benefits of the laws of the State of					
21	California. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants have committed act					
22	of patent infringement during the course of their business in this District.					
23	7. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to					
24	28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1338.					
25	8. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1400(b) and 1391.					
26	THE PATENTS IN SUIT					
27	9. On February 22, 2005, United States Patent No. 6,859,641 ("the '641 patent)					

entitled "Adaptive Canceller for Frequency Reuse Systems" was duly and legally issued to

Applied Signal Technology, Inc.'s Complaint for Patent Infringement

28

pa-1336191

2

Glenn D. Collins, Don L. Anair, and Michael J. Ready. A true and correct copy of the '641 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A to this Complaint.

- 10. On June 5, 2007, United States Patent No. 7,228,104 ("the '104 patent) entitled "Adaptive Canceller for Frequency Reuse Systems" was duly and legally issued to Glenn D. Collins, Don L. Anair, and Michael J. Ready. A true and correct copy of the '104 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B to this Complaint.
 - 11. AST is the assignee and owner of the '641 patent and '104 patents.

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '641 PATENT

- 12. AST realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-11.
- 13. On information and belief, EMC has infringed and continues to infringe; has induced and continues to induce others to infringe; and/or has committed and continues to commit acts of contributory infringement, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, of one or more claims of the '641 patent. EMC's infringing activities in the United States and this District include the development, manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of products, including but not limited to EMC's Noise Reduction System (NRS) products ("EMC's NRS products"), and inducing others to do the same. Such products have no substantial non-infringing use. EMC's infringing activities violate 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 14. On information and belief, Paradise Datacom has infringed and continues to infringe; has induced and continues to induce others to infringe; and/or has committed and continues to commit acts of contributory infringement, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, of one or more claims of the '641 patent. Paradise Datacom's infringing activities in the United States and this District include the development, manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of products, including but not limited to the PCMA-70 Series Satellite Bandwidth Extender and the Quantum Series Satellite Modems, and inducing others to do the

same. Such products have no substantial non-infringing use. Paradise Datacom's infringing activities violate 35 U.S.C. § 271.

- 15. On information and belief, ViaSat has infringed and continues to infringe; has induced and continues to induce others to infringe; and/or has committed and continues to commit acts of contributory infringement, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, of one or more claims of the '641 patent. ViaSat's infringing activities in the United States and this District include the development, manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of products, including but not limited to the VPCMA-70 Satellite Signal Canceller, and inducing others to do the same. Such products have no substantial non-infringing use. ViaSat's infringing activities violate 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 16. On information and belief, Defendants' direct, induced, and/or contributory infringement has been, and continues to be, willful and deliberate, and has caused substantial damage to AST.
- 17. On information and belief, Defendants' infringement in violation of the federal patents laws will continue to injure AST unless otherwise enjoined by this Court.

COUNT II

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '104 PATENT

- 18. AST realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-17.
- 19. On information and belief, EMC has infringed and continues to infringe; has induced and continues to induce others to infringe; and/or has committed and continues to commit acts of contributory infringement, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, of one or more claims of the '104 patent. EMC's infringing activities in the United States and this District include the development, manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of products, including but not limited to EMC's NRS products, and inducing others to do the same. Such products have no substantial non-infringing use. EMC's infringing activities violate 35 U.S.C. § 271.

- 20. On information and belief, Paradise Datacom has infringed and continues to infringe; has induced and continues to induce others to infringe; and/or has committed and continues to commit acts of contributory infringement, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, of one or more claims of the '104 patent. Paradise Datacom's infringing activities in the United States and this District include the development, manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of products, including but not limited to the PCMA-70 Series Satellite Bandwidth Extender and the Quantum Series Satellite Modems, and inducing others to do the same. Such products have no substantial non-infringing use. Paradise Datacom's infringing activities violate 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 21. On information and belief, ViaSat has infringed and continues to infringe; has induced and continues to induce others to infringe; and/or has committed and continues to commit acts of contributory infringement, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, of one or more claims of the '104 patent. ViaSat's infringing activities in the United States and this District include the development, manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of products, including but not limited to the VPCMA-70 Satellite Signal Canceller, and inducing others to do the same. Such products have no substantial non-infringing use. ViaSat's infringing activities violate 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 22. On information and belief, Defendants' direct, induced, and/or contributory infringement has been, and continues to be, willful and deliberate, and has caused substantial damage to AST.
- 23. On information and belief, Defendants' infringement in violation of the federal patents laws will continue to injure AST unless otherwise enjoined by this Court.
- 24. On information and belief, Defendants' direct, induced, and/or contributory infringement has been, and continues to be, willful and deliberate, and has caused substantial damage to AST.
- 25. On information and belief, Defendants' infringement in violation of the federal patents laws will continue to injure AST unless otherwise enjoined by this Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment and relief as follows:

- 1. A judgment that Defendants have infringed, induced infringement of, and/or contributorily infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '641 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 2. A judgment that Defendants have infringed, induced infringement of, and/or contributorily infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '104 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 3. Preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all other persons in active concert or participation with them as follows:
 - a. from selling or offering to sell any product falling with the scope of the claims of the '641 and '104 patents;
 - b. from importing any product into the United States falling within the scope of the claims of the '641 and '104 patents;
 - c. from using any product falling with the scope of the claims of the '641 and '104 patents;
 - d. from actively inducing others to infringe any claims of the '641 and '104 patents;
 - e. from engaging in acts constituting contributory infringement of any of the claims of the '641 and '104 patents;
 - f. from all other acts of infringement of any of the claims of the '641 and '104 patents;
- 4. A declaration that Defendants' infringement of the '641 and '104 patents was willful and deliberate and that this case is exceptional pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- 5. An award of damages adequate to compensate AST for Defendants' infringement of the '641 and '104 patents;

Applied Signal Technology, Inc.'s Complaint for Patent Infringement pa-1336191

1	6.	An award of treble dar	mages resulting from Defendants' willful and deliberate
2	infringement	t, and all other categories	of damages allowed by 35 U.S.C. § 284;
3	7.	An award of AST's co	sts, expenses, and attorneys' fee incurred in bringing and
4	prosecuting t	this action, including pur	suant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;
5	8.	An award of pre-judgr	nent interest; and
6	9.	For such further relief	as this Court deems AST may be entitled to in law and in
7	equity.		
8			JURY DEMAND
9	AST	demand a jury trial on al	l issues triable to a jury in this matter.
10		:	
11	Dated: May	18, 2009	JAMES POOLEY L. SCOTT OLIVER
12			MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
13		. !	Si Boon
14			By: James Pooley
15		!	Attorneys for Plaintiff APPLIED SIGNAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.
16			APPLIED SIGNAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.
17			
18		·	
19			·
20		!	
21	•		
22		:	
23			
24			
25		!	
26 27		·	
28			
٥٥		 	